

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT TACOMA

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

7 NO. CR09-5452RJB

8 Plaintiff,

v.

9 JUAN ANTONIO MOLINA-ABARCA, et al.,

10 Defendant.

Order Denying Defendant
Camarena's Motion for Discovery
Related to Vehicle Trackers

12 This matter comes before the court on the above-referenced motion (Dkt. 344), which
13 the court deems to be filed on behalf of all defendants pursuant to the Procedural and
14 Scheduling Order filed herein (Dkt. 176). The court is familiar with the records and files herein
15 and documents filed in support of and in opposition to the motion.

17 This motion should be denied for the reasons stated in the government's response (Dkt.
18 368). If specific information as to what the tracker devices indicated is relevant to any
19 defendant's defense position, the parties should first consider stipulating as to such facts. In the
20 event that the government does offer evidence regarding information found by the use of
21 mobile tracking devices, it should be prepared to show the scientific validity of such
22 information. The motion, however, is overbroad and should be denied.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated this 4th day of January, 2010.

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



ROBERT J. BRYAN
United States District Judge